

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/786,013	Applicant(s) OOMORI ET AL.	
	Examiner THOMAS A. MORRISON	Art Unit 3653	

All Participants:

(1) THOMAS A. MORRISON.

(2) Mr. Manabu Kanesaka (Reg. No. 31,467).

Date of Interview: 12 May 2008

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

5 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Contacted Mr. Kanesaka (applicant's representative) to get permission to further clarify one recitation in claim 5 of applicant's 5/1/2008 amendment, as per the attached examiner's amendment. Also, the examiner requested permission to correct one recitation in claim 14 of applicant's 5/1/2008 amendment to provide proper antecedent basis, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone conversation, Mr. Kanesaka approved such changes to the claims.